

1
2
3
4
5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
7 AT SEATTLE

8 ABRYEL WILDER, *et al.*,

9 Plaintiffs,

10 v.

11 WASHINGTON STATE, *et al.*,

12 Defendants.

Cause No. C21-0324RSL

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

13 On March 12, 2021, plaintiff Abryel Wilder was ordered to provide a more definite
14 statement of her claims that clearly and concisely identified the acts of which each named
15 defendant is accused and how those acts violated her legal rights. Dkt. # 6. The Court pointed
16 out a number of fatal flaws in the original pleading and notified plaintiff that “[t]he amended
17 complaint will replace the existing complaint in its entirety.” Dkt. # 6 at 7. Plaintiff subsequently
18 filed a “Response to Order for More Definite Statement” (Dkt. # 7): its 1,042 factual allegations
19 appear to be identical to those asserted in *Wilder v. United States*, C21-0206RSL, Dkt. # 9.
20

21 Plaintiff’s revised statement of her claims remains deficient under the standards
22 articulated in 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2) and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 8(a)(2). There is no
23 indication that Ms. Wilder is authorized to act as a representative of any of the other named
24 plaintiffs. The new complaint consists of 67 singled-spaced pages and repeats many of the fatal
25 errors previously identified. Defendants named in the caption are not identified as parties, and
26
27

1 some of them are not mentioned in the 1,000+ factual allegations. The defendants would have
2 only the barest notion of what they are accused and would likely have no idea how the alleged
3 conduct gives rise to a cause of action under any of the fifty statutes and constitutional
4 provisions listed at Dkt. # 7 at 61-65. Neither defendants nor the Court are obligated to search
5 through the proposed pleading in order to determine whether a viable cause of action is alleged:
6 the burden of providing “a short and plain statement of the claim showing that the pleader is
7 entitled to relief” falls squarely on plaintiff. She has not met her burden
8
9

10 The above-captioned matter is hereby DISMISSED without prejudice. No additional
11 documents will be accepted for filing under this cause number other than a Notice of Appeal. If
12 plaintiff opts to refile a complaint related to these events, she is strongly advised to name only a
13 single defendant and confine the factual allegations to that defendant’s conduct and the legal
14 claims against that defendant. Absent allegations showing that Ms. Wilder is a licensed attorney
15 or otherwise authorized to act as a representative of another person, any renewed claims should
16 be brought only on her own behalf.
17
18

19
20 Dated this 4th day of May, 2021.

21 

22 Robert S. Lasnik
23 United States District Judge
24
25
26
27